

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 13, 1999

LB 637

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...write it out.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...take the lead over Senator Chambers in terms of whether I'm "Quibbler-I" or "Quibbler-II". But I think, with that, I'll withdraw the amendments and see if we've clarified the intent sufficiently...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: If that's sufficient...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...but I really didn't understand what...what...how that was intended to be constructed or how that intended to work.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: I'm not adverse to amending it. I...it's just whether it's clear to what we want to do, and I'm trying to look to see if your amendment...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, why don't I withdraw the amendment and if other people need to talk about it...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: My guess is that...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...that's fine, and if there's not time we won't worry about it;...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...that the QE-II...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...if there is time we'll correct it.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Okay. The QE-II probably will have something to add to that. (Laugh) Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Q-II does have something to say. I'm looking at what...the issue that Senator Beutler raised and, just looking at the language, the last part of this sentence would have to apply to counties because it's introduced with the word "are", so we're talking about counties are not in compliance, not a court. Here's the way the sentence would read if you leave that part about the court out: The Title IV-D Division may withhold IV-D