

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 13, 1999

LB 637

last sentence on page 1. And I would like for a lawyer in here to stand up and tell me this isn't a violation of the separation of powers. It reads, "The State Disbursement Unit shall be administered and operated directly by a public or private entity or state officer, as designated by the Legislature". That is the Legislature appointing a manager in the executive branch. To me there is no way that that's not a violation of the separation of powers, not to mention the fact that it's vague. "By the Legislature", who is the Legislature? Is that the Speaker? Is that the Executive Board? Do we do it by resolution of the body as a whole? First of all, it's vague, second of all it's a violation of the separation of powers. It says, "upon the recommendations of the Title IV-D Division". That doesn't mean that they direct the appointment, the Legislature clearly, under that language, has the power to direct the appointment. And the making of a recommendation doesn't save it from a separation of powers failure. The part I like about it is Section 3 and the Legislature taking over the study, because I think it does make a lot of sense to have an independent body from DHH, at this point, given the history of the whole matter, look into the waiver study. And I would like to see that part of the Kristensen amendment take in and substituted in an intelligent manner into the Brown amendment and made useful. I think that's a great...a great section. And there may be a couple of other sections that we need. And there are probably going to be three or four sections of the Brown amendment, that are not required by the federal government, that are going to be objected to by people, and we need to delete them. But we got to start out with something in the first place that shows that we're acting in good faith, because you have to remember that this isn't a matter of getting a waiver. The statutes of the United States of America say, on October 1st of '99, you're in violation. And so the machinery begins for finding you out a violation. And there's no waiver process. It's just a matter of getting in place and slowing down that process enough to get in place before you are found out of compliance. We should have done this last year, we could have done it two years ago. We're the ones who are late in terms of this whole process. And we need to get our act together and move ahead. We're already facing enormous possibility of damages in the low-level suit. I hope you all are resolved upon a huge reserve fund, because, if we're going to add a second