

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 13, 1999

LB 585

they're in the midst of their addiction, they drink because they need it, not always physically, but sometimes physically but always the psychological addiction is there. They don't worry about what the penalty is going to be. And in today's day of age, we don't have to take the gasoline, it's mixed with alcohol, ethanol, and separate it to get the alcohol out of it to drink. You'd have to be a chemist almost to do that. Rubbing alcohol is something that has been abused in the past by alcoholics, by saturating it with bread to take out the impurities. Even that doesn't work. People have died on it. If they haven't died, they've turned up things they didn't eat because of what they drank. This is not the kind of amendment that I believe that is going to have anything to do with them areas that we're talking about. I do believe that law-abiding citizens will take a look at it and say, no, we're not allowed to do that. We're not going to. And if they don't, then we don't call them law-abiding citizens. If there's some small penalty, like for speeding a few miles over the...and they take that chance, it's still not law-abiding and I think we need to take a look at that instead of saying a law there just for the sake of a law does no good. I do not believe that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Mr. President, members of the Legislature.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Pederson. Senator Schmitt, on the Bromm amendment.

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Mr. President. I guess I stand in support of the Bromm amendment. I believe today out there there are a lot of people that don't realize that it is illegal to consume alcohol on the highway or public places, if they're not the driver. I think this is just lack of knowledge or whatever. I'm not sure but I do believe there's a lot of people that right now don't realize that they can't consume alcohol on the public road. So I think what Senator Bromm is doing is probably a good idea from the standpoint that with the open container, if I'm driving and I'm drinking and I have Senator Tyson with me or Senator Lynch with me and they're in the passenger side, the red lights come on, all I have to do is hand it to them. They wouldn't be in violation at the present time as long as they weren't drinking, and neither would the driver. So I think I'm going to support the Bromm amendment. I think Senator Chambers clarified some of the questions that I had earlier with it. I