

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 13, 1999

LB 585

think he's doing that and speaking with Senator Hudkins right now to see if there's any...any problem with that so we'll have a better answer in a minute.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Thank you, Mr. President. I'll relinquish the rest of my time and visit with Senator Hudkins off the mike.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Further discussion? Senator Chambers, followed by Wehrbein, Janssen, Crosby and Bromm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I didn't make it clear the last time I spoke. I'm going to vote against this amendment. I don't think making something like this a crime in view of the number of...you see, if I...I thought if I would have raised some of the questions that others did, it would seem like it's just a way to support my opposition to creating new crimes when I don't think it's necessary, to make an activity a crime. Senator Hudkins acknowledged bringing this to us because of a situation. Let me ask her a question. Senator Hudkins...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Hudkins, would you yield?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You said this was brought because of a situation in Dawson County? Oh, excuse me then. Tell me again.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Saunders County.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, I'm sorry. Saunders County. Okay. Okay, that's all I wanted to ask you, to be sure I got the county right. I haven't heard of a groundswell of problems in this area so I'm not saying that it does not pose a difficulty. But I don't know that the amendment as drafted, especially since it's creating a criminal offense, even though traffic violations are deemed crimes, this is a criminal offense as such. I'm not going to support it for reasons that I'm not going to go into great detail on. But some of the issues that have been raised are worthy of consideration. I don't know whether included in the definition of traffic control device is a mere sign that