

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 12, 1999

LB 271

payment in lieu of taxes will cover. You'll find my amendment in the Journal, and it says...it simply interdicts a certain amount of language. On page 4 you'll find it. It'll say, unleased property or, and this is the new language, property leased at fair market value of the state of its governmental subdivisions which is not being used for a public purpose but upon which a payment in lieu of taxes is paid, essentially, will meet the constitutional and statutory provisions. Why would I do this? Because the scheme that we have right now devised leaves the essential question as to whether or not the land is serving the public purpose. Then we say, and the assessor will handle this. The assessor will decide which category it goes into. It takes the assessor and makes them essentially a definer of the public purposes of our political subdivisions. And the difficulty is that these subdivisions have relatively broad public purposes. And arguably, the boundaries of those definitions could be large, could be small, certainly subject to interpretation, certainly subject to a county-by-county interpretation, depending on what happens with their assessors, who will undoubtedly run over and ask their county attorneys for some advice. So we're going to have, essentially, 93 definitions of the public purposes of the political subdivisions of this state. And depending on where you are, property that's being leased for a gray area will either be taxed completely or will be exempt. There are a number of political subdivisions, airport authorities among them, specifically. Senator Wickersham and the members of the Revenue Committee know this to be true because of what we've heard in the committee. These airport authorities, among others, have come forward and said, look, we do have property. If you push us into this taxed or exempt choice, we will fight like crazy to have it regarded as exempt, and we will pursue the broadest possible definition of our public authority. And if we don't do it here, we'll do it in the courts, and if we lose in the courts, we'll come back to the Legislature and expand our definition there, and you'll get nothing. You've made a fair point, they say. There's...we have in our number those who say, look, we don't want to get afoul of the Legislature, we don't want to get afoul of the courts, we don't want get afoul of the voters. The land that we are not using to run the airport, the land that we've leased to other people...they're farming it, they're using it for some other business, we'll see that