

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 12, 1999

LB 880

us, to speak when we see things that are not truthful presented in print. Now, I do not believe that those representatives of the press who are with us on a daily basis turn in stories that create that image. I believe that the people that are here working with us do diligently search out what is accurate. And if they don't quite understand a complex issue, they ask more than one member in an attempt to ensure that what they are...what is printed or what is turned in to be printed by them is in fact accurate. But there's a carelessness afloat in this land, I think. I was raised on daily newspapers as being...I don't know whether they were second to the Bible in truthfulness, or first to the Bible in truthfulness, but when you read it in the paper, that's the way it is, and that's the way it was. There's a carelessness now that seems to flaunt freedom of the press. And I don't believe, as I said, that it's here in the body of the Legislature and those that are doing their very best to report the truth. But I too, Senator Chambers, have been subjected to irate messages from constituents of mine who are angry about the Legislature guaranteeing to the city of Omaha money to pay for a convention center out of state resources. I would share with you, Senator Chambers, that what you spoke was in fact an accurate assessment of what LB 382 is. The "Wizard of Wickersham", unnamed on this floor, was very careful in asking other promoters of the bill that they did not create in the advertising for a bond issue any sort of an image that the state of Nebraska was in any way guaranteeing to the city of Omaha any payment of any bond, other than those monies that might be earned through a fairly narrow set of national or regional conventions, and the allocation of resources from additional tax collections resulting from attendance thereto. Enough of that. I have been, I suppose, somewhat quiet over the years with respect to excesses on the editorial page. Now, editorial pages by necessity are unsigned, but they also need to represent...when they represent facts, the editorial pages need to be factual. They certainly are an opportunity to represent opinion. I think anybody on the Revenue Committee and on the Appropriations Committee and on the floor of the Legislature has a right to be unhappy with the editorial that was mentioned, and several others that have happened in the past, where editorial page writers created an impression that they really didn't know very much about what they were writing about. They're certainly entitled to an