

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 11, 1999

LB 571 §

know?

SENATOR ROBAK: I suppose there would be some administrative costs, but I doubt that they would because they get salaries in their office and they have people that work and I don't know that. Fiscal didn't put anything in our...in my amendment or the bill so...it would be administrated through their office.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you and, daughter...

SENATOR ROBAK: Just part of their job, I would imagine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I say again, daughter, "ya" done good. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, I've listened to the discussion. I'm really taken with what Senator Schimek and young Senator Quandahl pointed out, and I'm not sure that counties have to be reimbursed whenever they're out of pocket for something that they're required under the law to do, so I have yet to be persuaded on this, and I don't know if that can be done. But if I'm not given something that persuades me, I will not be able to support this particular amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion on the Robak amendment to LB 571, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. President, members, I guess overhead is expensive nowadays and that's all you can say on that, on Senator Chambers' question. I think when somebody mandates something I think that tells the whole story. I think they're responsible for it. Counties cannot budget for this. And it might go back to the deep pocket theory, you know. The state has probably the ability more to pay for it than the county, and why shouldn't they pay for it? We mandate this; they're responsible. It's just that simple to me. Of course a lot of things are simple to me, but this one's clear-cut. Why should the counties, individual counties, be responsible? The state mandates it; the state should pay for it. What's wrong with that? Thank you.