

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 11, 1999

LB 87A

the provisions would be struck relating to any appropriation. It now would have a substantive impact. I hope that you recall that this is not something that is novel in the Legislature and that we can use an A bill in this fashion and I hope that you think it is appropriate to use this particular A bill, but I do want to follow the rules and cause a vote to be taken on a motion to suspend the germaneness rule so that we can gut a bill and insert entirely new provisions. Now, the entirely new provision that we are inserting is reinstatement of language in provisions that concern the reports that are prepared about LB 775 projects and issued on an annualized basis. In 1990, a section was modified and language was struck from that section. The language that was struck is the name of the taxpayer, the location of the project, the amounts of increased employment and investment. Those words were struck in 1990. We have gone forward till this year ignoring that change in the language and the public reports that have been prepared have been prepared with that information in them. This year the Department of Revenue noticed that the law had been changed and modified their reports. Immediately upon receiving the newest version of the report, I was contacted by folks from our Fiscal Office and they said that, based on the report that they were now receiving from the Department of Revenue, that they did not have an adequate level or appropriate information to account...fully account for the impacts of LB 775 in our fiscal status. In other words, they were unable to...would be unable to forecast with as much accuracy as they were before what our net revenues were going to be. I declared that I understood their issue and that I thought it was a problem and I hoped that we could find a way to resolve it. The way to resolve it that we are proposing to you is simply to reinstate the language that was "strucken" in 1999...or 1990, I'm sorry, and ignored for a very long time to no one's detriment. But the detriment would be now if we actually enforced that language and the detriment would be to our Fiscal Office and their ability to forecast the impact of LB 775 in our net receipts. Now that is the amendment that I would propose and the reason that I'm asking you to suspend the germaneness rule so it can be proposed to LB 87A.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Discussion on the motion to suspend the rules? Senator Wickersham, you're recognized to close. Senator Wickersham waives closing. Have