

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 11, 1999

LB 105

could finish the explanation that you unfortunately didn't have time to finish last time.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah. What in our original, Senator Beutler, what was in our original amendment was Section 77. Senator Brown, with her amendment to the amendment, put it in Section 72. And what our amendment originally in 77 simply provide a review process at the...an approval at the local level of any housing activity, short term and long term. They'd have to file a three-year plan and update it annually. And each year the agency would submit a one-year plan specific housing program and projects for the current year, and a public hearing must be held on it. And I think what Senator Brown's amendment does is it's simply only new projects, Senator Beutler. And I think we're simply asking for the city council have a review of all projects so that there is some input into what the housing authority is doing. So.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you through, Senator Hartnett? Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I want everyone to know that the League of Municipalities has agreed to this amendment. They, I think, understood, as Senator Jones and I have both spoken to, that having a different plan other than the one that they are required right now to have and that plan that they have right now has to have a public hearing, has to be submitted to the local political subdivision. So the...it's not like this is not going to happen if the committee amendment, if my amendment is adopted in place of the committee amendment. It's just going to be able to allow them to use the data that they are already collecting, the information that they're already required by the federal government to pull together to have a public hearing, to submit to their local subdivision. It allows it to be the same plan rather than a different plan on a different time line. And this reverts, my amendment reverts to current law in terms of the approval process for new housing development. And I just think that it is so much more efficient. It makes so much more sense to use the current situation in terms of the five-year plan that must