

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 11, 1999

LB 105

SENATOR BROWN: For new construction and that is current law.

SENATOR BEUTLER: For new construction, okay, as...

SENATOR BROWN: This piece is reinstating current law.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Now tell me what Senator Hartnett's objection is. I didn't understand the difference. It seemed to relate to the commercial aspect of it, but if it's a mixed use, that's included you're saying.

SENATOR BROWN: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So what is the debate?

SENATOR BROWN: I'm not certain. Senator, my objections to the way the committee amendments read are that the three-year plan that's called for is different than the one-year and five-year plan that are already...that the housing authority already has to develop for the federal government that is submitted, currently is submitted to the mayor and to the city council in a city for them to review. And so, you know, I believe that we already have that in place and so my concern about the committee amendment is that we've just added a new make-work plan that the housing authority would have to generate, and I don't think it makes sense from the standpoint that we already have in place, we already have them generating a plan, plans which are then reviewed by the local political subdivisions and go to the federal government. And so it's an efficiency issue to me.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The committee amendment contained that provision in which section, one of those that was deleted?

SENATOR BROWN: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Which section?

SENATOR BROWN: Just a second.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Seventy-seven?

SENATOR BROWN: I think so but just a second.