

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 6, 1999

LB 176

skis in any way that would be less than profane. (Laughter.) But that's not the reason, that's not the reason I get up. In the absence of having a statute book in front of me, isn't there a definition section in this part of statute?

SENATOR HILGERT: Well, yes, I think motorboats have already been defined. We did try to attempt to define personal watercraft, then there was some concern that why are we...that we're going to get sued by the personal watercraft owners if we just regulate them, we ought to regulate motorboats as well. We have a 30 yard no-wake zone around docks in regulations now, that was put in the statute, so it really didn't change the law. But that made some senators concerned that that would ban motorboating, as well as personal watercraft on some smaller lakes in Nebraska.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Hmmm, well, okay.

SENATOR HILGERT: It's getting kind of muddled, Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: I...I...I would think that you're probably right, because it looked to me like there were definitional changes in this amendment that might be better handled in a different mechanism. I know usually we have a definitional section that describes various things, and then throughout the rest of that section is the application of the intent of statute. But that was my question. Thank you, Senator Hilgert.

SENATOR HILGERT: You're very welcome.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Schmitt, on the reconsider motion. Senator Schmitt waives. Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I, too, support the reconsider motion. I do think, however, before we get to Select File, the issues raised by Senator Smith, if there indeed have been lawsuits filed in other states, if legal counsel for the Natural Resources Committee, and I see her shaking her head yes, would get that information to us, so I think that's something we should look at and have some