

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 6, 1999

LR 5

\$2,000 per month to be placed in LR 5CA in the place of the XXXX. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. The question before the body is, shall the committee amendments be adopted to LR 5CA? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted that care to? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of committee amendments.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The committee amendments are adopted. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Kristensen, I now have your amendment, but...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Yes, is that A...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kristensen, to open on your amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: That's AM0500?

CLERK: Yes, sir. (AM0500, Legislative Journal page 1881.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I had originally intended that if people did not want to do a certain sum of increase, which was done with the committee amendment, that this amendment would be yet another option, an alternative. And I'll explain it to you, and I don't know whether I will take it to a vote, it kind of depends on what some of the discussion is. But to let you have the option is this would maintain the current salary of \$1,000 per month, but would reflect the cost of living increases from 1988 through the year 2000; so it was sort of a retroactive, you go back and take the cost of living since we last did it, and then you would have cost of living increases every year from then on. Now, what I should do is have a little poll here. And Senator Chambers is probably the most akin to wanting to put a few friendly wagers out here as to how many people would come close to what that cost of living increase would have been since '88? Actually it's much less than you might think, because we've had