

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LR 18

of the good sense of the people of the state of Nebraska, notwithstanding the large expenditure of money that was used to promote them. We cannot rely always on that kind of good luck. We need to have a process that will allow the people to protect themselves in some respects because they, like we, can be caught up in the spirit of the moment and they can vote for something that they may not understand completely because they saw a television ad, because they read a newspaper ad, because their neighbor told them to do it or any of those reasons that really don't make any difference. And when they woke up the next morning and they found out their vote really did count and the thing passed and that wasn't what they intended at all, they can't take it back. We, as Senator Chambers indicated, can take it back. That's a luxury we have in this process. It's partially the reason we have three readings for every bill--because we make mistakes and we can take them back. But you can't take back a mistake that you make in the constitution. And to believe that the people are any different than we in terms of making mistakes on a specific vote, on a specific time, on a specific issue that they would regret and want to take back is wrong. They may indeed wish to take it back once they learn more about the issue, and providing for two votes allows them to do that. Now, the other point that I think is important is that not only does it allow the people a mechanism to take back a decision that they would want to reconsider or that they maybe thought was wrongly decided in the first place, but it increases the amount of discussion and understanding on the second vote. Because, surely, by the second vote, all of the implications, the issues, the impacts, the potential for good or ill will have come to the front, and people will have had a much better opportunity to cut through the glitz, the chatter, the media, the one-second sound bytes, the two-second sound bytes, whatever it takes to convince them in a hurried moment that they should be for or against something when they should be making a much more deliberate decision than that. And to provide them that opportunity to have a more careful and complete assessment of the good or ill that would be done by something in the constitution, I think, is something, quite frankly, that we should all support. And I can't imagine why we would resist voting for Senator Kristensen's proposed amendment.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you. Senator Schrock, please.