

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LB 44

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam President. Law enforcement has found a way to circumvent the constitution and the state laws. Senator Bromm is saying to me what people have said to me before--file a lawsuit. I have filed lawsuits. I filed two in Omaha, once when they were not enforcing a law, and I won that; a second one when they wanted to install red light cameras in violation against the law. They say, file a lawsuit. I did that and I won. Why don't my colleagues, for once, assume some responsibility and let us, as a Legislature, do what we should instead of saying, Ernie, you file the lawsuit? Senator Bromm has never filed a lawsuit like I've done. Senators don't do that. They know my time is limited and it shouldn't always come down to the outsider senator to file lawsuits to uphold this constitution that we're sworn to uphold. We're not sworn to uphold any federal law that Congress passed that may be in violation of the constitution. If Senator Bromm was going to show us that Congress created a pool of money which it grants to the states and in order to receive that money it must be used in a certain way, that's one thing. We're not even talking about that. This money was not created pursuant to an act of Congress. This money derives from money or conveyances and other property seized from people within this state. Congress had nothing to do with the generation of that money nor did the federal government, and the federal government does not have a police force. Senator Bromm and others may not know that. The federal government does not have a police force. These arrests are being made by the State Patrol, sheriff's divisions and local police. When they cooperate, they don't bring in a host of federal agents and specially deputize them. They're using law enforcement people who are sworn under the laws of the state of Nebraska. And he says people don't know anything. Well, the laws, I handed them out. If he doesn't know it's because he didn't read it. We know enough to understand that the language of the constitution is clear. Do you all think I'm going to get something personal out of this; that the schools have told me they're going to give me a 10 percent cut if I see that the constitution is upheld? Why is Senator Bromm so eager to let these people circumvent the law and keep this money? I think his amendment ought to be rejected. First of all, it's poorly drafted and I think he'd acknowledge that because he couldn't even explain the significance of what this language is. It