

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LB 44

gain anything. So they wanted to have a financial stake in this kind of enforcement. So the deal was worked out. But after the deal was worked out and we trusted law enforcement, they reneged on it. It was never their intention to have that money go to the schools. There are court cases in Nebraska which have struck down attempts by the Legislature to divert certain fines to other purposes--law enforcement. The Nebraska Supreme Court said even the Legislature cannot undo what the constitution requires. What we have here, purely and simply, is an attempt by local and state law enforcement people, with the complicity of the federal government, to circumvent the constitution. We're not saying they can't arrest drug dealers. We're not saying they cannot convict and punish drug dealers. We're saying that when money is produced in the way that results in these proceedings, that money is to be handled in the way the constitution says. Either the constitution means something or it doesn't. Either the constitution is to be obeyed or it isn't. I'm sure that people who maybe hold a philosophy similar to Senator Bromm's, during the Clinton matter, were saying, nobody is above the law. He's saying, let law enforcement be above the law awhile longer. Here is what I'd like to see, let us put it in law. Who's going to challenge it? Let the federal government challenge it. They know they're on shaky ground. And if they challenge it in court and lose in Nebraska, they lose all over the country. Not every federal law is upheld. You all remember we passed a law here dealing with certain activities around schools that were to be illegal because the federal government required it. That law was struck down by the U.S. Supreme Court, saying it was an improper intrusion into local affairs and local law enforcement by Congress, and the law was unconstitutional. Not every law that Congress passes will pass constitutional muster. We should uphold our constitution, we should uphold our laws. And if the U.S. Attorney in Omaha wants to challenge it, let him do it, but let him know how high the stakes are. If they lose on this one, as I'm sure they will, it will strike down the federal law that they've put in place which I think is unconstitutional anyway everywhere. It has not been challenged. The people who are victimized by it don't have the money to challenge it.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.