

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LB 44

don't charge you with a crime, to prove that it was not used in violation of the law. And what these cops have put in their letter is that the reason they like to use this system is because the burden of proof, under state law, is on the state. By going through this federal process, it shifts the burden of proof to the one whose property has been taken. And you have to prove that you held it legitimately.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I understand that, Senator. But is there any...is there any difference if that seizure is made on the interstate rather than...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, that...that's not the issue. That's not the issue at all.

SENATOR JANSSEN: So there's nothing different, though,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR JANSSEN: ...it would be any...any arrest that's made in...within the state, no matter whether it's on the interstate or not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. What the U.S. Attorney said is if the amount is over, I forget whether he said \$5,000 or what, if the amount involved in any arrest is more than that, they run it through this federal process,...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because it makes it worthwhile for the federal government to get 20 percent, then give 80 percent back to the law enforcement. And remember, no crime has to be charged, no crime has to be proved, but the property can be taken and the owner loses it.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Senator Chambers. And I will give the rest of my time to Senator Chambers, if he'd like to have it.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, about a minute and a half.