

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LB 44

with forfeitures that come into their hands. Now there...Senator Landis had questions about ultimately how is this money used? Is it helping with the war on drugs? Is it doing what supposedly federal law intends it to do? Those are legitimate questions. I don't know the answers to those questions today. How do you get the answers to those questions? You introduce a bill, you introduce a bill next session, you have a public hearing, you bring everybody to the table that has any knowledge or interest in this subject and you deal with it at that time. Evaluate the information that you get. We're nearing the end of this legislative session. We have senator priority bills, we have committee priority bills, we have Speaker priority bills we haven't even heard of yet, we haven't even discussed on the floor of this Legislature. This is a legitimate topic for Senator Chambers to bring up, don't misunderstand me. But it's a topic that should be aired, we should vent ourselves, as Senator Brashear has done, and we should let the matter lie until next session. This is not an appropriate policy change to make at this juncture of the session. And we should not take...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...eight hours now and eight hours on Final Reading, when we have other things that have been through the public hearing process that are extremely important that we need to deal with. But I have not heard the previous speakers talk about federal law and what federal law requires. If we say the money coming back from federal coffers for drug enforcement will have to go to the schools, we won't get it, because that isn't possible under federal law. And so, if we want our local agencies not to cooperate with the federal agencies, if that's how we're going to fight the war on drugs, we've got some big problems ahead of us. Now this bill started out to be, as Senator Brashear said, somewhat of a nominal pro-law enforcement bill. And now we've got law enforcement jumping out of the woodwork opposing the amendment. That's another reason I don't think that it is appropriate to do it in this manner.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you.