

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LB 870

small units. At least so far in our history, those kinds of problems have not been extensively identified, and that is still somewhat of an open question to the extent that DEQ, to date, has actually not had the regulatory manpower to examine closely what is going on in the state. But they do have that manpower now and, as time passes, we'll get a better picture of what's actually happening. Meanwhile, I think we could all agree that we want DEQ to start from the top down, in the sense of taking very, very close look at the huge operations and perhaps not such a close look but still taking a look at the smaller operations. And as you get down to the very smallest, 300 and less, it seems fair enough to me to suggest that we ought not to waste our resources at this point in time until we know that there's a greater problem at least on these particular units. And so I am happy to endorse that exemption without serious misgiving. The second amendment, though, and the reason I'm talking about this is that it does make a change in that section of the bill, page 7, line 14, which describes the exemption, and the description says: Any livestock operation of less than 300 animal units is exempt, including the requirement for inspection, and then it says, unless there is or has been a confirmed discharge into the waters of the state. Well, that language basically says to DEQ, you can't touch these operations until they've actually polluted the waters, and to me that was going a little bit too far in that it seemed to me that if there was a high potential for discharge then in that event DEQ ought to be able to bring a particular operation back under the act. And so the amendment adds the language not only if there is a confirmed polluting of the waters but if the department has determined that, because of conditions at the operation, there is a high potential for discharge into the waters. In that case, they can notify the owner by certified mail that he is, indeed, subject to the requirements of the act. So that the way, in fact, that this will actually operate in the field insofar as people envisioning it working right now is not that DEQ is going to go out and inspect all of these under-300 operations. They, in fact, will not do that. They don't have the resources to do that, nor do we want them to do that. But it may be on occasion that there are complaints about particular operations, or by accidental review of various types of small operations there may be a perceived problem. I remember, for example, when I was up in Rock County flying over Bone Creek and