

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LB 870

File and I believe a successful effort to do that, and I want to thank especially Senator Schrock for keeping an open mind to all kinds of suggestions and to the Speaker for facilitating and helping get parties together on this particular matter. What the amendment does, it has...it has five parts. The first part eliminates some ambiguous language that was discussed to some extent yesterday before we came to the division of the question. It involves the word "suggested" that's injected into the definition of best management practices and this amendment would eliminate that new language which makes clear that best management practices can still be applied to production units just as they could be in the past. But in saying that, I also want to say that I share the feelings of Senator Schrock and other production people that DEQ should be offering and, in fact, encouraging maximum flexibility insofar as producers may have a variety of ideas with...with which are related to how they will reach their goal of preventing pollution from entering the waters of the state, and I think that was very clearly expressed by Senator Kremer the other day and I would certainly agree with that. And would say that the elimination of this language from the bill is not intended and should not be interpreted in any way from discouraging DEQ in maximizing the flexibility for producers with regard to best management practices. The second amendment has to do with the 300 exemption. As most of you are aware, and certainly those of you from the rural areas are aware, this bill exempts from livestock regulation, from the whole livestock regulation act, all production units that are 300 animal units or less, and the result of that particular provision, which is far and away the big thing about this bill, the result of that is that, except for limited purposes and in limited circumstances, somewhere between 85 and 90 percent of the producers of this state will be exempted from the Livestock Act. They will be exempted from all regulation. So, when you talk about the 20 thousand producers in this state, between 18 or between at least 16 and 17 thousand of them are going to be exempted entirely and the state will not be bothering them in any way in almost all situations under this bill. And to some that might seem like going backwards in terms of the regulation of or the protection of the environment, but the fact of the matter is that the concentration in small areas is, in my opinion at least, a much greater danger to the environment, and we have not had a large number of problems from