

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 878

we have in the program, move forward with this, be confident that our people that are in security and in Corrections and in State Patrol and others that have need for the kind of weapons training that is required, that they have an adequate place to do that. So it seems logical to me that if what we have at the present time is not adequate and is continually getting more dangerous, this is an opportune time to take advantage of that when we're moving into the new facility. The area is there. The money is allocated per the original agreement, that we ought to move forward and I would urge you to oppose this amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Your light is on next. Senator Wehrbein waives. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President and members of the body, I'm going to stand and support Senator Pedersen's amendment. I'm just concerned about the dollars and, not only that, they haven't even got the prison started yet. Why can't we go ahead and build the prison and then, if they're not going to build it connected, they could go ahead and build it afterwards. Even if it's two years down the road, we could appropriate money then and it'd make our budget look better now and hopefully that we wouldn't have to override any vetoes that may be that way too. So who knows what's...how it's going to come out, but it will make the budget look better and I think no more than right to support this and put the money back in General Fund now and then go ahead forward. So I'm going to stand and support Senator Pedersen's amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Jones. Senator Preister.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President. I wasn't going to speak, but as I hear the debate I decided I would fire off on this range myself. So I have had several things come to mind as we've discussed this and, Senator Wehrbein, perhaps you could answer some of my question, or maybe Senator Schmitt, if he's aware. It seems that as we look at the big picture, the debate isn't so much do we do this particular range or not. The question that comes to my mind is what coordination is done in the state for all of our firing ranges? We're expecting the cities, the counties, the other political subdivisions to