

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 878

this amounts to, in the program statement we have the adequate money for this next biennium, that is not the issue. But we did not have the future cost of the money on the line, and that's really for the status purpose in the future. I think it says it's zero now, and this would put a future cost out there. This is a normal situation in terms of construction budgets. We didn't have the out-years listed. It will show on the status sheet, but it's always going to be subject to reaffirmation. So, in a sense, it's technical, but I also want you to recognize it's so the body now and in the future can recognize there is future costs. When you vote on this it is not just for the renovation costs, amount for the next biennium, or the next two years, but there is an additional cost in the out-years. If you look at the prison, if you look at the NEB\*SAT, anything that we do that's not done just in two years, there's an out-year cost. This recognizes that, and we didn't have that in the amendment as...in the original 878, so this recognizes those out-year costs as a number. Doesn't change anything in the budget at this point, but it will show an impact in the future status in the out-years. The second one is a Health and Human Services amendment, the other day that we...it corrects a technical area in the budget program designation for the renovation of facilities at Geneva. It happened to be my amendment. If you remember, we did the additional money for Geneva, and it was intended to include the Kearney Youth Facility in the second year of eligibility for funding. We talked a lot about Geneva at that point. There was \$500,000 in there for a study. I did state on the floor money...in addition, money could go to Kearney for renovation, but it was not technically in the amendment. So it merely puts in the amendment Geneva study, and if there's money additional, any extra money, you might say, that it would go...could be eligible to go to Kearney. Simply a clarification authorization in that case. That's the thrust of this amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the amendment? Senator Wehrbein, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I'll just waive.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: He waives closing. The question before the body is the adoption of the Wehrbein amendment to LB 878. All