

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 880

So I will be voting a "yes" and if there are three more I think we would put us back on track as to the direction I thought the state was meaning to move. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I appreciate Senator Bohlke's reconsideration motion. Thank you. I just want to make it clear that these are...I understand the confusion on the floor because there's differences of opinion as to how some of this work should be administered and how. This is a process that we've started in place a few years ago, is now coming to fruition. The grant process is...the words that I had restored allowed this money that's in there, the \$500,000, to be appropriated. There are communities that have worked hard and there's others in process of setting up these programs tailored to the local communities. That's the reason for it. It's broader based, generally, in my opinion, than the probation officers have for their programs. And these, again, are tailored to the communities, versus Probation, who has fairly standard programs. Not saying they're not flexible, but they're fairly standard. These are broader based proposed programs that communities think fit their community best. That's the way Corrections has gone at it. Now there may be legitimate difference of opinion as to who should administer this...this program, I understand that. I offer you the fact that this could be worked out in another few months. Again, this has been ongoing for several months, if not years, about who should administer this program. I am simply saying to you let's restore this wording so those communities that have started out, those are communities that are under progress, can continue. Administration would like to continue with this program. If there's those difference of opinion, they need to be worked out. I don't think it's proper to work them out here on the floor. But let's continue with the program we're at, let's see what we've got in place and see that it works. And if you want to change directions and, using Senator Wickersham's proposal from the other day, if you want to turn on the dime another year, so be it. But we have gotten this far the last three or four years in this process and I think we ought to continue with that until we see a better solution, and maybe there is one. But this is the path we've been on for awhile. And the reason I said that,