

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 880

was taken from them in an amount greater than the 1.6 million that we're talking about here. That money was taken from them and given, as it turns out, mostly to the counties. Now it may be that you would want to add a small amount of additional money for the counties, if they are coming up short, but when you look at the complicated formula, I'm not sure they're coming up so short. But I would think that the fairer thing to do would be to propose to add money to the counties. The fairer thing to do is not to take the money away from the NRDs. The NRDs had that money last year and now you're taking that money away from them if you adopt the Wickersham amendment. Now some people may not think the NRDs are doing important work, but I think I, and I think a lot of other people here, believe and know that they are doing important work, and that they have not been wasteful of money, and that if you look over their projects and the work that they have been doing, they've been getting a lot done for a fair amount of money. Now Senator Wickersham may want to hold up their money as leverage for some kind of equalization formula, but I don't think that's a fair way to go about the business of government, especially from the point of view of people who are concerned about and working in and are the NRDs. I don't think they would be happy to know that the money that they, in my opinion, have every right to, based on their performance and based on the fact that we made a policy decision in this Legislature to give them that money at one point in time, and they've been using it year in and year out, I don't think that they would appreciate thinking that that money is now being taken away from them again because somebody wants to use it as leverage to figure out some new equalization formula that the Legislature may or may not think is appropriate at some point in time in the future when we get around to it. I think the fair way to deal with that is to give the NRDs back their money, and then if we want to talk about equalization, talk about it, but the NRD formula right now is the way it is, and the Appropriations Committee didn't change that. We just put the money back in it, and so it seems to me that those are two separate questions. And I would remind you again that the NRDs are about to take a second large hit unless the Revenue Committee addresses the fertilizer tax problem. They will, next year, lose another 2.3 million unless something is done about that. So now, apparently, the Revenue Committee would like to create a gigantic crunch...