

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 770

SENATOR CHAMBERS: My time is up. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD PRESIDING

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion? Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. This is certainly an interesting piece of legislation. I was interested in the remarks that Senator Byars made that business was going broke because they had to pay \$900 inheritance tax. That doesn't seem very probably to me, but I guess I can understand where he's coming from. I wanted to ask Senator Wickersham a question, if I might.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Wickersham?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Wickersham, if I heard correctly, I thought I heard Senator Redfield say that this is a windfall...the motor vehicle tax is a windfall for the counties. Isn't it a fact that any of this money that's gathered through the motor vehicles tax, in fact, goes under the lid and therefore the amount that they would get would be reduced...the property taxes would be reduced in that county by that amount? They can't exceed that, isn't that correct?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes, it...I don't know in what context Senator Redfield was using that term. The configuration of 142 would cause the counties to have more revenues from the motor vehicle source, as opposed to the property tax source, but in the aggregate the pool of dollars available to them would remain the same.

SENATOR VRTISKA: So, in a sense, it really isn't a windfall, it goes into the budget, just like any other taxes that are collected,...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: No, I...