

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 870

Legislature, just to briefly refresh your memory, this was not an easy point to understand, but it's a very important point because of the danger of the court interpreting this language in a particular fashion and because, on further examination of attitudes among those who are lobbying this matter, it is not clear what their intent is. There seems to be a variety of intents out there and I think it's important that this language be eliminated and that if there is an objective that is worth meeting, that the language should be redrawn to affect that objective only and not this broad language which has the problem of a particular interpretation. And the interpretation that I'm trying to avoid is one that would move us very much backwards in terms of the regulatory structure as it applies to the livestock waste, and for that matter a variety of issues. And that interpretation would be an interpretation which would say that the department in requiring best management practices as part of any permit would be reduced to putting into those permits best management practices as newly defined in the bill, which would be suggested schedules of activities, prohibitions, et cetera, instead of being able to do as they do now, require best management practices, actual best management practices, not to suggest, but to require. That's been an operating tool of DEQ from the very beginning, from the 1970s. It's been a very beneficial tool. It's a very practical tool because it can be scaled to any kind of site situation, and it's an area where there has not been a problem. Nobody has suggested that that has been a problem in terms of best management practices being required in specific situations where needed. So it seems to me that the practical and wise suggestion here is to get rid of this new language and to ask the sponsors of the bill, if there is another problem they're trying to address, to come back with language that addresses that problem, but not overbroad language like this that opens it up to a second dubious and detrimental interpretation. Well, I think that's probably all the time I should use given this extra time to explain where we are. Senator Wickersham, with that, I'll stop.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM PRESIDING

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you. To speak to the Beutler amendment, Senator Schrock, followed by Senator Kremer and Preister. Senator Schrock.