

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 870

but at the present time I'm not ready to accept this amendment because we may be restricting new technology and we may be placing something too restrictive on the producers of the livestock in this state.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I recall the discussion on this word and the reason it was added was very much as you've heard from the Chair of the committee. The word "suggested" I think is intended to allow those schedules of activities and procedures to be somewhat flexible depending upon what is...what is new, what is available, the part of the state that it's in, what is economically feasible or practical under the circumstances, and I don't think it's intended...I know it's not intended to usurp any power but rather to open up the array of procedures in a given circumstance so that the DEQ can make suggestions that are adaptable and are flexible for that particular enterprise and that operation. So I think it's a word that should stay. I certainly understand the questions and concerns Senator Beutler has, but given the context in which the word is offered I think it's worthwhile having in the law and I would...I would support leaving the word there and I would not be able to support the amendment at this time. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President and members of the body, I want to stand up in opposition of this amendment. We had a big discussion in the Exec Board (sic) of the Natural Resources Committee trying to put this together and I think one thing that hasn't been mentioned yet, there's a lot of research being done now on the lagoons and odor and everything and if we lock ourselves in to what are just best management practice now that won't let us go to something suggested, I think that would actually...I don't think it would be a step backwards. I think that could be a step forward because that way we can decide whether there's something better coming along that we can use that would help on that particular situation. And so I think that was one of the main reasons that we decided to leave this word in. There was a lot of discussion, like I said earlier,