

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President, and, members of the Legislature, I think the hour is approaching. (Laugh) Well, when I see people start showing up who haven't been here before and there's a buzz around the Chamber like bees around the flowers, we know that the moment of truth is approaching. However, this will only be one of them, and I intend to continue, to the extent that I can, to make the public aware of all the defects in this bill and the kind of flimflam that we're dealing with. This bill cannot be justified. Every time an issue is raised, Senator Landis, who is the main defender of the bill, has reached way out and give words different meanings and say, well, in sections where you don't find those words, the words apply there more than they do in the section where you do find the words. That's not logical arguing. Fortunately, should a challenge come, it will not be on the floor of this Legislature with legislator, it will not be in city hall, it will not be in the offices of the big shots, it will not be in the rooms of the chamber of commerce, it will be in court. And there will be the opportunity, if this thing become law, to see whether the things that I think are fatal to the bill really are. If Senator Landis is correct and that a court will do all of the writing of a bill that the Legislature ought to do, then he has not read Nebraska Supreme Court decisions and the opinions supporting them. But, in the meantime, our job is just be here together, with each other, spending some quality time together, Sister Thompson, and just benefitting from each other's conversation. And I must say that though I disagree with Senator Landis on a number of issues and I certainly don't accept his interpretations and constructions of some of the language, the discussion has been of value. I think it has brought into focus the issues that remain unresolved in this bill. Since amendments are not going to change the bill, Senator Landis has to hope and pray that a court will resolve all of those unresolved issues in behalf of the state. But I've read cases, and when you're talking about construing the power of the state or a city, especially in these areas, one of the cases, in fact, dealt with the home rule charter. The court said that the court will construe these types of bills narrowly and they'll construe it against the political subdivision. So that's what I would look for. Does that mean a bill like this or that this specific bill would be struck down? Not necessarily, but I would rather be on the side attacking it...