

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I wanted to continue, if I might, just a little bit on my concerns about the proposal, particularly the throwback mechanism. I mentioned the fact that there is a presumption in this model that additional economic activity does not generate any additional government cost. Certainly, if that's the case, we're on the wrong basis in charging sales tax and income tax because both...both of those taxes would increase the revenues received based on increased economic activity. One study, well, study, an estimate done that I saw was that for the state of Nebraska roughly 55 percent of the additional revenues the state collects are needed to provide services for that activity generating those revenues. And, in fact, I think you could argue that in the long term 100 percent of the additional revenues should be needed to provide services. If not, we're either collecting too much taxes or something is wrong. Logically, it should follow that we need all of the money we collect at the state level to provide services. I'll mention one other thing quickly as far as the throwback mechanism. It has a peculiar distribution of risk, and I would have to suggest that the distribution of risk is particularly favorable at the state level. Roughly, it goes something like this. We, the state, think this is a good idea if it works. Or another way to say it, when things are going well, we're with you. And, of course, the opposite is true, if the thing doesn't work well and the local subdivision would need the money probably worse, the state would not be there because this money is only available when there is considerable economic activity. A couple of other things I'll mention quickly and, again, these are my concerns and it is not to say that every thing I have is negative about this. But, certainly, the 30 percent fund, where 70 percent would go to the convention or the convention center, in this particular case, 30 percent would be to a fund which you might call as something for everyone else to vote for. The criteria are different here. It's a grant fund, a less than 50 percent match of cash funds is required, and also this grant fund is placing emphasis on convention and civic centers. This would inspire competition among smaller communities to receive this money because, after all, it is free money, that if you, or at least half of it is free. If you don't apply or don't try to build a convention center, well, then you would not be able to get any of this money. And I guess a question I have, a local