

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

know what that formula will be. When it comes to the participants, they lay that out. But there are additional questions about that aspect of it that I will ask when we get to it. But on this, I'm trying to get stated all of the main points I have so that when we get to an issue where Senator Landis can address them, he will do so. The question that I had written next to this subsection was, how is this to be done? And that's with reference to determining the amount of attributable revenue with regard to construction, employment at the eligible facility, and out-of-state participants attending the conventions. If each of these is separate and distinct, then the term "employment at the eligible facility" would be different from the employees who are working for the construction company. So those two would be out.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The employment at the facility is out. Participants from out of state are out because we're at the point where we're looking only at construction costs having been spent, and then when Senator Landis gets the opportunity, I want him to tell me if the construction has to have been completed before that money can be sought or if the city can be seeking that money on an ongoing basis, once the construction is undertaken?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. On the motion to reconsider, Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Under normal circumstances, I would let Ernie continue on with his discussion, because I find reconsideration motions beyond the pale of what I think is legitimate debate in this case. However, he asked me a question and I want to give him a full answer, and I think he wants to get into this as well. By the way, the list of issues that Senator Chambers has talked about is very substantive. He has used them in the form of a bunch of inconsequential amendments and I wish he was focusing with amendments on the sections that, in fact, we're now addressing because they are legitimate and significant. Let me walk Senator Chambers through what I think was the question he was asking, and that is attributable revenue and construction costs. To start, you would take a look at page 2, sub...page 2,