

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

multiplier involved in this construction end of it, and if Senator Landis can find something somewhere about the multiplier effect being applied to construction, then when we get on an amendment, then I will ask him to point that out to me and we will discuss that further. I think I want to assume that what Senator Landis is true, that before any convention is ever held, before a nickel is plunked down by anybody in that convention center, in fact, before the doors are open for business, the political subdivision can start trying to get money back from the state. So let's say that political subdivision is in a bind when this thing gets finished. So how are they going to get operational money? Well, they let general obligation bonds to get the money needed to construct it. So what they will do is come down here to the state and say, give us some money because of the construction, but they'll use that for operational costs. I guess once they get the money, they can use it for anything they want to. But if it becomes very unprofitable to operate this convention center, or if the number of conventions don't just come tumbling in, as those who support this bill say will be the case, it could be sitting there and maybe local people will make use of the building and they might have meetings, they might have revivals, they may have NRA gatherings, Future Farmer of America gatherings, but all of those are within state and do not count toward attributable income. So this thing could be used every day but never generate any attributable in...revenue because none of these events qualifies by having at least 50 percent of the participants from outside of Nebraska. So Omaha is not in a position to be asking the state for any money, based on these participants, because none of these events that they're having will qualify. But the expenses continue to roll on. I presume there will be some kind of expenses. Otherwise, I wonder how the city auditorium in Omaha lost over a million dollars last year, why the Orpheum is continuing to lose money, and why Rosenblatt Stadium is continuing to lose money? There is some cost associated with these kind of facilities. So maybe what Omaha will say is, give us back the full amount that we spent on construction. I don't think even Senator Landis would agree with that because the aim is not to pay them back their construction costs because they spent construction costs. The aim of the bill, if I understand it, although I disagree with it, is that they are going to have to find some kind of attributable revenue with regard to construction, and I don't