

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

say "which will be used" for that purpose? Why did not they say "which can be used" for that purpose? Because the word we use is "may". And when you have different people throwing their little bit into the pot, they spoil the broth. Now whether you use the word "can", or "will", or "may" in these findings may seem irrelevant. But, if you're going to use "may" in one place, you ought to use it in other places where you have similar uncertainty. But the body chose not to do that, "Deacon" Jones, they chose not to do it. Now I am not a grammarian, I am not a grand perron (phonetic), see grammarian is for Grandma. I'm just a person who reads what is put in front of him and tries to make sense of it. And I do believe that since Bill Drafters have a manual, according to which they try to obtain consistency in the way statutes are drafted, we on the floor of the Legislature should assist in that regard. Now Bill Drafting cannot change "can" to "may". Bill Drafting cannot change "will" to "may", but we can, meaning we have the power to do it. And we may, meaning we have the permission to do it. But we shall not do it. Why not? If you can answer that question with exactitude, then you can tell me that, if Mt. Everest doesn't move, then we know that that will prove. And I won't tell you what it will prove, because you wouldn't even understand the words to express the idea. But if this bill were taken to an English instructor, and that English instructor was told to just go through this and don't worry about what its purpose is, to kick back money to the political subdivision or anything, just look at the syntax, look at the grammar, and see what you come up with. And there would probably be as many red marks as I've put on mine,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...but my red marks are for a different purpose. I am trying to point out that this does not reach the standard of excellence or quality that legislation ought to reach, no matter what the subject matter is. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. The question is the motion to reconsider the vote taken on FA138. Motion to reconsider. Those in favor vote aye, those opposed nay. Have you voted? Please record.