

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

there's a bill for that purpose, rather than putting it in the mainline budget bill, or would we?

SENATOR LANDIS: I would anticipate that it would be in the mainline budget by our Appropriations Committee, because it is the carrying out of our black letter law. That's how I would presume it would happen. My guess is that it would be subject to an attack by you, Senator Chambers, on the floor of the Legislature.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: As with the whole budget bill. But anyway,...

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I just was trying to get that clear. And, Senator Landis, I'm going to get into that area, farther down the line, about the multiplier. And we'll both have a chance to get a clearer picture of just what it is, because like you, I'm not exactly sure, even though I've read it, as to how to take the meaning. But that's all I will ask you now. Thank you.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, what this amendment would do, and I'm closing on it, is to change two words, in line 13 on page 1. I would change the word "can" to "may", the word "will" to "may". And had Senator Baker been paying attention, he probably would agree with me, although he wouldn't feel free to vote with me, because I think my colleagues have got their marching orders. But, Senator Baker, I just feel that instead of saying facilities "can generate new economic activity", the real...the more accurate way is to say that they "may generate" it. And then when we get to the other part of the line, it says, this economic activity will in turn, I would insert "may", once again. So that's what the amendment would do.

SENATOR DIERKS: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: These amendments I'm offering may seem inconsequential. Senator Landis is offering the hope that, if