

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

those are the two things that would be envisioned for the use of a convention center?

SENATOR LANDIS: I would put it in the form of a description, not a limitation. And the reason is I think when read in the context of the rest of the section I think it's a general description of benefits, if you will. So I don't see it as a limitation, but that's because it's nestled in among a lot of other things which I think are statements of purpose. It does serve, however, in a limit...because of the kind of clause that it is, is a form of limitation in this context, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you did say if that were stricken that wouldn't hurt the bill or weaken it in any way.

SENATOR LANDIS: Your word to me was, was it necessary, and I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...agreed with you that it was not necessary.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, then let me ask this. Would it weaken the bill if it were stricken?

SENATOR LANDIS: I figure that the engine of the bill lies elsewhere and were we engaged, you and I, in making this bill better, I might look towards that goal. That not being the goal, I think it makes little difference.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator...oh.

SENATOR LANDIS: I think you do want to ask if it's necessary and it's not necessary. I would certainly agree with that statement.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Landis. Members of the Legislature, I'm offering you opportunities to improve the bill. Senator Landis is looking at my motive. I want to kill the...I don't want the bill. I don't want any changes made to it. The changes that I would offer would improve it. I don't want to improve it, but I want to establish as we proceed that I am