

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 29, 1999 LB 530

participating, with those technologies that exist today, none of them would be efficient. They would all be highly inefficient. And so the position we would be put in, if we were the only site, would be to have a highly inefficient site or to give in to the pressure and take the waste from around the nation in order to make...in order to cut down our costs to where they should be. That was what we were...that is what we are faced with. So the next thing that happened, and this is what I would call the third stage and the shorter end period of time is that stage that began with the denial of the license, and one has the right to act on the belief that it was denied in good faith. But the license was denied and one can say maybe there is some blame there too. Who chose that site? Whose chose the site that was not licensable? Not the state of Nebraska. That was the compact. So once it became clear and during that period while it was becoming clear that the site was not licensable, there was an opinion obtained with respect to withdrawing from the compact. And that opinion was built on the premise that Nebraska was acting in good faith. It didn't try to get into the question of what damages might be if we were acting in bad faith, but assumed that we were acting in good faith, and it said, essentially, and I think it's a good analysis, that we would have to pay \$25,000 a year for five years to get out of the compact, assuming we were operating in good faith. So the path seemed clear to us at that particular point in time, and I think momentum gained for withdrawing from the compact, as in my opinion, it should have gained. Now we're in the fourth phase, and that phase was created by the federal lawsuit and the discussions and the implications and the possibilities relating to an ultimate finding at trial of bad faith. Now I don't assume, as Senator Chambers has assumed, from a very preliminary injunction that the state, in fact, was acting in bad faith. Certainly, this Legislature never...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...acted in bad faith. Perhaps you could argue that elements of the executive branch may have. Perhaps you can argue that the Attorney General may have. However, even the judge, himself, says, things must be kept in perspective. First, we are at a very early stage of this litigation. A 13-hour evidentiary hearing on a motion for preliminary