

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 28, 1999 LB 382

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Aren't we talking here about this act, not a contract? We're talking about this act?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator, the issue is whether or not there is a contractual obligation to make payments. If you're aware...I think that the real derivation of the language is the rather peculiar predicament, I think, we find ourselves in with certain other economic development incentives where there is actually a contract entered into between the recipient of the benefits and the state. And those contractual arrangements we cannot terminate, and we cannot modify the law in any way that would impair those contracts without being sued.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator Wickersham, this is not talking about a contract. This is talking about the act and having read the act, I know it says, and you know it, too, that all of this money that this entity or any other entity is supposed to try to get is subject to appropriation....

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...by the Legislature.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's correct. And I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So that makes it clear if we don't appropriate it, they don't get it.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's right and I...I can see no way that you would ever construe or find a contract within the words of the act, but it never hurts to say that even if you found one, it didn't matter.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But that's really not what this language is saying, is it? It's just saying we can amend or repeal this act.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: But the reason for the language is to make clear that if anybody found the contract, that we could undo it.