

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 28, 1999 LB 382

what a "participant" is. That's why a lot of things, and Senator Wickersham mentioned this earlier today, some things at first blush are going to seem very clear. Then, as you begin to consider it, it is not clear anymore. I think I'll ask Senator Landis a question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Landis, would you yield?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes, I...we're on the closing section, right? That's fine.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: We're closing.

SENATOR LANDIS: Be happy...yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yeah, Senator Landis, we have both talked about, Senator Wickersham and I,...

SENATOR LANDIS: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what your view of the meaning of "participant" is.

SENATOR LANDIS: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I should ask you.

SENATOR LANDIS: You should.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's what I'm doing now.

SENATOR LANDIS: (Laugh) Okay. I'll tell you, as clearly as I can, the ambiguity exists because I gave some instructions on how to draft this language and there was a miscommunication and the language was drafted differently than what I'd asked for. I...it's not the kind of thing that I'd like to bring up on the floor, I bet you don't either. There's a difference between a rather long conversation in which I was dealing with staff and I made some...some...I wanted it drafted a certain way and it didn't get drafted that way, which accounts for the ambiguity. With respect to the use of the word "participants", as you've used it before on page 3, is that in furtherance of your