

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 28, 1999 LB 382

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Says acquired or completed, completed would implicitly contain within that definition I think construction. You can't complete something if you hadn't begun it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But how do we know that the word "completed" is referring to constructed rather than expanding or renovating?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, it says facility acquired...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...or completed, or substantially reconstructed, or expanded. Those are all disjunctive.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But reconstructed is not the same as constructed. That means doing something over.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: It says substantially reconstructed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, you're doing something over. It's already there.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why don't they put "constructed"? I'm curious. I'm not being argumentative.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: No, Senator, I understand. I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why don't they put that in it? Is this to suggest that if one of these structures starts the construction after January 1st then it does not qualify as an eligible structure?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: It says a facility acquired or completed, so if it's...it could have...I think implicit in that is the possibility that construction would have begun prior to January 1, 1999, but it wasn't completed prior to January 1, 1999.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wickersham, what is the seating