

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 28, 1999 LB 882

that the statute, the book of statutes does not apply to the body of the Legislature when it's gathered in this Chamber, and that was brought to my point on a claims bill when I was first into the Legislature by a number of senior members, who sought and were successful in taking from several state employees rights that were guaranteed to them by the then existing state law. So anyone that states on the...on the...stands here on the floor and tells you that the statute of limitations prohibits this body from doing whatever it is that this body wishes to do within the constraints of the constitution I will tell you flat out they are wrong. Now, the issue at point is, in this particular case, two people, as I understand the issues, in listening to the explanation, who in fact overpaid their taxes and the state of Nebraska got the money. And the Claims Board, because of the size of the amount of money, was required by their rules and our statutes to reference this to Business and Labor Committee for the hearing. That's the facts as I understand them in this case. The issue in the reconsideration motion is simply this: do we, as a principle, as a revenue principle, refund overpayment of taxes at any time they are discovered? Or do we say, oh no, "Grandma Smit", you missed the date by three days and you're not going to get your \$17.40 from two years ago? The principle is the same. We have made allowances in law for the State Claims Board to pay outdated warrants and refunds in smaller amounts. The larger amounts are required to be examined by the body of the Legislature and we have been doing that this morning. And I do not know what the politics of this issue is, but I am firmly committed to the principle that when a citizen of the state of Nebraska pays too much taxes and it's clearly demonstrated that that is a factual situation, that it is incumbent upon us to make that person whole and right. There are all kinds of claims made against the state of Nebraska. There was a claim made for a...from a...by one of my colleagues referencing a claim made for expended campaign expenses. That was not an overpayment of taxes. That had no relationship whatsoever to the issue that is before us this morning. So unless someone can clearly demonstrate to me that this is not a case of overpayment of taxes, I believe we are bound by our responsibilities as representatives of the citizens of Nebraska, determining the relationship of the citizens and the government to ensure that in cases such as these, which apparently are open and shut, that we do in fact