

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 27, 1999      LB 880

that was going to be very cost effective because it was going to be done in conjunction with another facility so that there could be a lot of sharing of staff and facilities, and...and we did not get that. And so we have a situation where our cost for incarceration is \$22,000 a year; our cost in the work camp is \$19,000, almost \$20,000 a year. And that is not exactly what we bargained for. We bargained for something that was going to be more cost effective, and I do think that this is an appropriate time to step back and say, do we have a changed situation since the time that this legislation was put in place? Yes, we do. Our backlog is not as great as it was. We have a facility on line that's going...that's going...the prison facility that's going to take up some of it. We do not have the cost effectiveness, the cost savings that would come from economies of scale in the linkage to another facility that we had bargained for, and we have moved forward, I mean there's been talk about having moved forward and made an agreement, but according to the language that we put in the work camp bill, we had the opportunity to take a little time and evaluate some of these issues. We moved forward too quickly, in my estimation. We moved forward before we really had the answers to the questions that we needed to have. We moved forward in a way that was not efficient, was not cost effective and I'm not certain that we can justify that spending just on the basis of...of perception. And as much as I know how important that is, I'm not certain that that should be the guiding principle that we have. We do not have the same situation that we bargained for. We do not have the efficiencies that we bargained for and so, apart from how this money might be used, I think that the merits of this amendment are that we...we are being as careful as possible about whether we have the same situation that we thought we did when we adopted this legislation. And this gives us an opportunity in two years to reevaluate once again. If we continue to have the number situation that we are having right now, we may just choose not...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...to proceed, but we will still have the opportunity to evaluate it at that time. We have until 2005 to complete the work. We probably should have proceeded within the