

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 27, 1999      LB 880

the out years of beyond this biennium. And it will represent money that currently, at least, is not shown as being there at that point in time if you spend at least \$15 million in A bills this year in continuing appropriations. And so times may turn out to be really good and it won't create a crisis, or times may turn out to be not so good and you may not have much money for A bills there that third year out. It's hard to say when you're talking three years out. But if we were going to do this, I would like to find another bill this year that changes statutorily the work camp requirement and I know that exacerbates the PR problem that is a concern to many of you, but, in terms of financial responsibility, I think you would all agree that we ought not to be eating up all the A bill money and all the money to be appropriated three years out when you and I don't know two years from now what emergencies or what good ideas or what A bills you and I may have to compete with that particular money. And the process of competing for that money at any point in time ought not to involve a leg-up by any particular interest in the form of a bill already passed and in the form of an obligation to essentially withdraw or change or defeat that appropriation with a new bill. That ought not to be the kind of competition for funds that exists. So, in light of what we don't know about three years from now and in light of the fact that we have no assurance that we can do the work camp three years from now and have any money whatsoever for other expenditures, I hope if you decide to do this that you will follow through yet this session and, by means of one bill or another, and I'll bring it to your attention, that we defeat the work camp proposal altogether and bring it back to ground zero, so that then we can proceed into the future with everybody competing for funds among what are the priorities at that point in time, not what we think might be the priorities now three years ahead of time. It's just...I think it's just poor...poor fiscal practice, and so I hope you will, if you...if you take this thing on, that you will follow through to the end and substitute the one expenditure for the other, and not try to put off a very sizeable 10, 11, 12 million dollars...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...off to the third year of the...of the future. Thank you.