

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 27, 1999      LB 880

that sense, I agree with Senator Wehrbein. I also want to mention perception, and somebody said maybe it's PR, or maybe...maybe it's just how do you view things, but let's look at the perception of what we are considering doing in this body. Whether for right or for wrong, the adminis...the previous administration had committed to McCook that this is where a work camp was going to be located. They had spent a great deal of effort in order to implement this effort of the work camp and now we're saying, well, let's just put this on hold. We've taken the money, we're going to put it somewhere else. And I think we have to look at...there's been a slogan that was going around that says One Nebraska. You know, we're all united and I saw a cake at the Nebraskaland Days last year that said One Nebraska, and it had all the buildings on one end of the state and one little dinky thing in the western part of the state and they say that's our One Nebraska. It's all in the east. And I think...just think about the perception that that gives to...to central and western Nebraska that that is the way it's being considered. And I think that we ought to think long and hard before we adopt an amendment like this because I think it has an overall effect on areas like McCook and all of the central and western part of the state. I just don't think it's a good message to be sent. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Pederson. Further discussion, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members of the Legislature, I am not so much concerned with what is being done as how it's being done and whether there should not be a follow-up step if, in fact, we're going to do this. I am supportive of what Senator Thompson wants to do. I don't know that I'm supportive of it in its entire amount. I simply don't know enough to know whether spending the entire \$11 million is wise at this point, especially in light of the fact that they don't have any preliminary plans or we haven't seen any details of just exactly how the money would be spent as we ordinarily would, so it's probably not the best way to do business. But if you do want to do this, I'm especially sensitive to the point that Senator Engel has brought to your attention, and that is the fact that the work camp is in statute. It will continue to be there and an obligation of ours, in the third year out and