

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 27, 1999      LB 880

here when we battled our way through that problem and arrived at a decision that there would be a work camp, a decision that I did not like. But because Senator Engel, old Senator Engel, where is Senator Engel? He's not even here to hear this. Oh, he's resting in peace. He was so accommodating in terms of accepting amendments that would improve the bill that I was never in a position where I could get the traction, or as they say in technical terms, the purchase to really move against that bill and do all I could to stop it. So I had to agree that I would just give my arguments, and if I could not persuade the body to oppose the work camp, then it would go ahead and be enacted into law. But I did get them to put in a date that would put a completion date at 2005. So even though we're transferring this money out, it doesn't necessarily mean that everything is over. We're not even changing the other law to do this. We're simply saying that circumstances may arise which will let prudent people know that there is a better way to spend money than that which was first envisioned. And I can't think of anything more prudent than taking money, which is available, and directing it toward a problem which I think universally is agreed on in terms of its existence. And what I don't want to see though is an attempt to convert Geneva and Kearney into permanent facilities, and here's what I mean by that; that they turn into those locations where we're going to be spending money forever trying to take buildings that were never designed for the purpose to which they're being put. I want that to be an interim step, a temporary holding action. When I and others talk about a secure facility for juveniles, we're not talking about another prison. We mean a place which is not like that prison for young people in Omaha, which is a little more secure than what you have at Geneva and Kearney, and it would be possible in such a facility to mix the youngsters who would be at Geneva and Kearney and also this somewhat more secure facility. They would not be in equally secure areas, but it would make more sense to have those two sets of juveniles put in a facility of that kind than to take any of the other kind, other categories of juveniles, and putting them in the prison. The only ones who should be in that prison, in my opinion, are none. There's too much haste to treat juveniles as adults. That is not the issue before us today. The issue, which is here, is that for once there seems to be a consensus that money is going to be spent in such a way that after its expenditure