

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 630

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I want to let Senator Janssen know that small is out everywhere except in the realm of miniatures when you're talking about painting portraits on a grain of rice, or making furniture small enough to sit on a penny. Other than that, small is out. In the city we see what happens to small grocery stores. They're even making chains out of barbershops. But I'm going to tell Senator Janssen why the number of barbershops shrunk in the area he was talking about. As the clients got older they got less hair, and as there's less hair there's less work for a barber. And they didn't just come in and say, polish her up. If there was nothing to cut off then you just didn't have any work. But, Senator Janssen, you're right, there...in the old days there, I think, probably was more of every kind of enterprise because the bigness just was not there. But now that it is here it cannot be ignored, it cannot be wished away. Maybe if I were going to go into farming and I'm looking at those combines, I might decide to buy me a 747 instead and try to start me an airline. To maintain the equipment is an unbelievable cost and you cannot do that on wishing and hoping and praying and singing. It's not going to work. And to simply allow somebody, who has no ability in 1990 to purchase a farm, to work on that farm for three years, to expect at the end of three years that person somehow magically is going to have enough money accumulated to buy it. You're not talking about decreasing the price. See, here's what the older farmer can do. Now if the farmer owns it then the farmer can reduce the price of the land, reduce the price of the equipment, reduce the price of the livestock, and give terms that are very beneficial to the young farmer. But that's not what we're talking about. We're not talking about reducing the cost of that which is to be purchased, nor creating a greater ability on the part of the purchaser to buy it. We're focusing on the owner who no longer is going to own and we're saying by giving breaks to that owner somehow magic is going to be worked and this person who wants to buy the farm is going to be able to buy it. I don't understand it. Would it be feasible to put into a law language that said, if a farmer enters this type of arrangement and gains these tax benefits he or she must reduce apace the cost of every element of that operation? Nobody would agree to that, nobody. So I still don't see how this is going to work. But, Senator Janssen, one time there was a block that had four barbershops. The barbershop at the south end on that