

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 630

all that will do is cause, essentially, the older farmer to charge more for the rent and you wind up in kind of a net no-return position. So I think it's better to let them agree on that to begin with and provide a mechanism that gives you some assurance that both of them can share, indeed, in the return and that you've done something for the beginning farmer. Under...under Senator Wehrbein's proposal, where all of the credit goes to the retiring farmer, there's absolutely no assurance that anything goes to the beginning farmer, absolutely nothing. I suppose the notion is that somehow the retiring farmer says, okay, I'm going to give you some credit against the rent, and I'm going to lower the rent. But if you're going to do that in the context of a crop share arrangement, what do you do? If the standard crop share arrangement is 60-40, then is it 61-39? Is that the adjustment for the 5 percent? How do you actually, in the context of Senator Wehrbein's proposal, make sure that you do anything at all for the beginning farmer. I'm sorry, Roger, I just can't see how it's going to work out on the ground. On the other hand, if you do what I'm proposing in the amendment, you have a defined amount of money that you can say to one or the other parties this is available and you can share that, and it will be to your mutual benefit. You've got to decide but it's going to be to your mutual benefit in some fashion. So I think the proposal that I'm bringing to you has that benefit. But, again, I will go back to the rationale that we create programs and fund them with tax credits and forget about them, absolutely forget about them. They roll on and on and on costing us money and more money and more money, and we never look at them. And that, I suppose, is one reason why people favor credits to fund programs because they know they never get examined, they never get looked at, nobody ever worries about them. You never even know that they're there. Now if I was going to fund a program, that's the way I'd want to do it because it just never shows up. But if I'm going to be honest with the taxpayers of the state of Nebraska and with other people who might have competing needs for those same dollars, I want to make sure that that program gets examined. I want to make sure that every year it has to line up with everything else, and that we have to know whether we can afford it, and we have to know whether we should spend money on it. And I think that is the far more...