

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

the provisions of LB 271 as it now stands, in Section 4(b), we have a standard for payment of in lieu of taxes, and it is for unleased, unused property. But if you examine the provisions of subsection (b), you'll, I hope, will begin to get a hint of the issues that need to be addressed when you open the door to in lieu of tax payments. The first question is, in lieu of tax payments for what? I heard Senator Bohlke very presciently asking that question as Senator Bohlke was talking. If you look at subsection (b)...Senator Bromm, you'll have to look at the amendment copy. I'm sorry to mislead you. What the committee provides for in this amendment is that you specifically pay in lieu of taxes for public safety, rescue and emergency services, and road or street construction or maintenance of all governmental units providing such services to the property. It was the notion that if you were going to pay in lieu of taxes, that you had to fix some standard to pay them to. Now if it is Senator Bromm's intention that this in lieu of tax payment is equal to the aggregate amount of taxes that would have been paid, then we could just say that. But instead, he says that the in lieu of tax may be a negotiated sum. Well, you need to have some basis for negotiation and you need to know who to negotiate with because you wouldn't want the county, for example, negotiating and saying, pay us in lieu of taxes equal to \$5 per acre, and if you pay us, then no one else receives any revenues. I doubt that you want that result, nor would you want them to go and say, I'm going to pay an in lieu of tax to the school and negotiate that agreement. Or do you want them to go around serially, first to the county, then to the school, then to the NRD, then to the ESU, then to the...all the other taxing authorities that might impinge. How do you want that process to work? The answer in the committee amendment was that we wanted that to be confined to public safety, rescue, emergency services, road or street construction or maintenance. Now maybe you disagree with that judgment, but that's what's in the committee amendments. That's an answer, partial answer, to the kind of question that Senator Bromm is raising. Then the committee amendment goes further and it says that you can have some other basis if you want, for paying in lieu of tax, but that has to be still related...it has to be based on a policy that's adopted by ordinance or resolution, and adopted by a majority vote, and it has to result in an equitable contribution for the cost of providing such services to the exempt property.