

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

space, arguably, maybe they should pay tax on. On the other hand, it's integral and necessary to the public purpose so maybe they shouldn't. I'm not sure. Maybe rather than fight that out in litigation or court, maybe the parties that are affected, the people that are losing the tax revenue and the people that are paying the tax revenue, would want to work out an in lieu of tax payment. This amendment would allow, in both of those fact situations, I believe...or at least that's the intent and I apologize for not having the amendment before you earlier but it just didn't...it didn't crystallize in my brain until I was listening to some of the discussion today. And so what this says is that a state or governmental subdivision which owns property all of which is integral and necessary to the public purpose, but a portion only of which is used for the public purpose, specifically used, may negotiate with the affected governmental subdivisions a mutually agreed upon in lieu of tax payment. And if that is done, payment of that in lieu of tax is in lieu of taxation. Now, I am interested in having some discussion. I don't want to make a bad policy decision or put words in the statute that further cloud the issue which is already relatively complicated. So frankly, I don't know if I'm going to take this to a vote or not until I hear some discussion. But I hope that I have explained to you what I'm trying to do. I'm trying to allow some flexibility in those situations where there is some gray area for the payee...the payor to negotiate with the payee an in lieu of tax payment under these circumstances. Having said that, I have questions about it myself but I hope that there will be some interest and some discussion and we can make a decision on where to go from here with this particular aspect of the bill. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Discussion on the Bromm amendment? Senator Wickersham, followed by Landis, Raikes, Hudkins, and Coordsen. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Madam President. I have to say that I oppose Senator Bromm's amendment for a variety of reasons, not because I don't have some sympathy, Senator Bromm, with the problem that you're trying to reach, but because I don't think this amendment does it, or does it in a...in a way that ultimately we would find acceptable. And if you look at