

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

perhaps easier to speak in terms of a specific example, and I'm going to go back to MUD and the well field in Saunders County. And let's say that this political subdivision of MUD has acquired 800 acres in Saunders County, and let's say that they're going to use ten acres of that for wells, but they...they need...their feeling is they need to own the entire 800 acres so that they can protect the wells from contamination and environmental problems, so there's going to be 800 acres taken off the tax rolls. Now let's say that they decide not to lease that property out. Arguably, the county might say, well, you pay the tax. Arguably, the political subdivision could say, but it's all necessary for public purpose because the well field might be contaminated if we allow usage of the rest of that 800 acres. So there could be a dilemma. By the same token, let's take an airport. An airport has to have 30 acres for their runway and their hangars and what have you, but they have to have 150 acres to have the clear space that the FAA requires around their runways. And from the discussion I've heard so far, I think that if they would lease the clear space out, they may pay a tax. If they don't lease the clear space out, they may pay a tax because it's unused property being held for a public purpose. And that's another...another fact situation that I think is unclear. Most of the situations that I'm aware of...let's take the MUD thing, for example, and let's take the airport thing as a second example. MUD, I think, and entities like that that...and I'm going to use this word not in a mean way but they realize when they intrude upon another political subdivision which is going to receive no benefit from the construction of that improvement, that that is offensive, and they would like to have a good relationship if they could with that political subdivision they have intruded upon. And in some of those situations, they would actually prefer, rather than argue about it, pay an in lieu of tax payment, but the law up to now has not allowed that. And I'm not sure that's it's going to be allowed under this law. And I hate to go through all of this and not be able to allow an avenue of solution for that problem. Let's take the airport, for example. Some communities, whether it be the city or the county, the community advertise on their economic development literature that they have the availability of an airport and how important that is to their progress of their community and it's...the whole airport, in that sense, has a public purpose. But the portion of the land that is clear