

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

on occasion, issues just reach a level of fatigue in the body, of complexity, of amendments, and we look to clear beacons to help us wrestle with the underlying problems. In this case, Senator Wickersham I think has the much better of the argument. This language will have the effect of meaning there will be no...there will be no effect of LB 271, certainly in the area of leased work. Senator Smith is right. This is overreaching, and it sets a bad policy. In fact, it will have a kind of incentive, a strange incentive effect which we do not want to encourage. The fact that many of us want to be helpful to our existing airport authorities doesn't justify going this far. It's far too...beyond the place where we want to get. Now I got to say, I've got an amendment I want to do at some point. I'm suggesting it on Final Reading and bringing it back. It has to do with the use of payment in lieu of taxes. It's suggested by some airport authorities. I think it's a reasonable way out of this but that, too, which I will introduce on another day I would expect, will get us to the issue of what's the fair line. Senator Hartnett, Senator Smith, Senator Wickersham are right. This is way beyond what the right and appropriate line to draw is. I'd urge the defeat of the Tyson amendment. I think there's a couple of problems to address. One, procedural questions in front of assessors and how the work of assessment and carrying out LB 271 will be done; and secondly, the time lines and hearing dates and those kinds of things for that. I understand that's being worked on. Cathy Lang is working on that from the...in the property tax administrator's office. My suggestion is that with a week's time, we would have the procedural piece to add to this bill and be further along. However, at this moment I would defeat the Tyson amendment, and if Senator Wickersham pushes forth then advancement of the bill today, I wouldn't take it up immediately. I'd expect us to have at least one and probably two future issues; one, procedural, secondly, the use of payment in lieu of taxes. Right now, I'd vote against the Tyson amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Madam President and members of the body, I guess I'm going to have to repeat a few things that was said here that Senator Smith and some of them, but to my good friend, Senator Tyson, I'm just going to have to go against him today because I