

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

determine whether or not the framework that we have produced is the right framework. How are you going to do that? Is any county assessor going to notify anyone that that have property that is potentially subject to tax? Is any county board of equalization going to have to make a determination whether or not the use of the property is being put to is a public purpose? How are you going to cause those determinations to be made if you delay the effective date of the bill? How will you ever know if it does what we are intending to do? There will simply be no way to know that. Now you can delay it if you want but it won't tell you anything by delaying it except that you will hear, I suspect, from more people who say that you can't do this to me, I'm a good governmental subdivision. You can't cause me to be treated like private citizens. I'm different. I'm different than everybody else in the state. Even though I look just like them, I'm still different and you have to treat me differently, you have to give me special consideration. I can't, I won't be treated like you, no matter what I do. I can't and I won't be treated just like you. You pay your taxes. I'll take advantage of your taxes, but I'm not going to be subjected to the same kinds of constraints, the same kind of fiscal considerations, the same kinds of concerns about overall tax burdens that you are because I'm different. I'm good. I'm better than you are. But they aren't, they're there to act on our behalf,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...not there to be better than us, not there to be treated in a special fashion that we're not treated in, when they're looking like a private entity and doing the same thing as private parties.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. (Visitors introduced.) Continued discussion on the Kristensen amendment. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Again, I rise in support of the amendment because I think we have many questions. I'd like to just go down a list of concerns that I have. Certainly opening this up to personal property I think even raises even more questions. We talked about the Burger