

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 26, 1999 LB 271

next year, for the year 2000 taxes, this would not apply. And I want to tell you why I want to do this. There's now been enough changes, and I think...I sense that there's a variety of people who are uncomfortable or may not fully understand what this bill does. And yes, there's a...there was a constitutional change. That constitutional change I supported, a number of people did, but it didn't say you had to do it right away. I think there's a substantial number of people out here who don't understand what this bill may do. And if you're one of those people, I would begin to be hesitant as to what you're shape you're going put this out, because once you assess that property, you're never going to get to be able to go back. And what this would do...what this amendment does is just make that operative date the second day of January. You could make it any other day, as long as it was not January 1, the year 2000, because that is the day in which the assessor will look and say, do I own property, on that day. If some of these collateral issues or collateral properties that are the substance of the bill...and I'm not arguing with Senator Wickersham on what types of things he's doing. I'm still trying to figure out some of those things. I'm just telling you, I'd like to slow putting all those things onto the tax rolls until I'm sure I'm comfortable I know what I'm putting on. That's the reason for the amendment to the amendment. It's not a particularly difficult one. It just changes the operative date from the first of January to the second. But it has a big impact. And I don't want to mislead anybody on that impact, but if you're a little uncomfortable about how this is going to work and what the procedures are going to be and what properties you're now going to put onto the tax rolls, I think this is a good amendment. And instead of bracketing the bill or instead of delaying and doing all those other things, I think you move operative date back and that gives you this summer, this...for them to look at this, and then it gives you next session to make any changes. But the bill's in place, and it's going to require 25 votes to make any changes. So it doesn't kill the bill, doesn't do anything other than move it back a property tax year in which it applies. And I would urge the body to do that and hope that you'd support this amendment to the amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. For discussion on the Kristensen amendment, Senator Smith.